

PORSF  
11.3.31.5.1

Childs, John

---

**From:** DRUBACK.Ed@deq.state.or.us  
**Sent:** Monday, October 01, 2001 12:52 PM  
**To:** childj@portptld.com  
**Subject:** RE: Beneficial use of dredged material

No problem. I have already briefed Monty, so when Sally asks for his opinion he will tell her to say yes as quickly as possible. Pleasure doing business with you. Too bad I will not be around to re-write those rules I was talking about.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Childs, John [mailto:childj@portptld.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, October 01, 2001 12:37 PM  
**To:** DRUBACK Ed  
**Subject:** RE: Beneficial use of dredged material

Thanks Ed

-----Original Message-----

**From:** DRUBACK.Ed@deq.state.or.us [mailto:DRUBACK.Ed@deq.state.or.us]  
**Sent:** Monday, October 01, 2001 11:58 AM  
**To:** PUENT.Sally@deq.state.or.us; MORSHED.Monty@deq.state.or.us  
**Cc:** quinnp@portptld.com; ashtod@portptld.com; childj@portptld.com; MULLANE.Neil@deq.state.or.us  
**Subject:** RE: Beneficial use of dredged material  
**Importance:** High

John: As I indicated to you on the phone the other day, Sally Puent (503) 229-5072, is now the Northwest Region Solid Waste program manager. I am however recommending that she send a letter indicating agreement with the Port's request for the change in the location for this load of sediments at the Suttle Road facility.

Ed Druback  
(503) 229-5151

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Childs, John [mailto:childj@portptld.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, September 28, 2001 11:40 AM  
**To:** DRUBACK Ed  
**Cc:** Quinn, Padraic (Pad); Ashton, David  
**Subject:** Beneficial use of dredged material

Ed:

As you suggested in our phone conversation today, I am writing this email to request DEQ's interpretation of your September 4, 2001 letter that addressees the dredged material currently located in Port's rehandle facility.

While the Port was preparing to follow through with placing the dredged material at Berth 602, as described in the Port's letter dated May 25, 2001, we have identified a different beneficial use with several advantages. That is, we would like to use the dredged material at a wetland mitigation site located in south Rivergate along the powerline corridor (T5 wetland mitigation site). The dredged material would be used as a soil amendment during further construction of the wetland site and will be used to sustain plant growth within groundwater fed seasonal ponds. The dredged material is ideal in physical make-up and carries valuable native seeds.

In DEQ's September 4 letter, the dredged material is identified as "equivalent to 'soil, rock, concrete or other similar non-decomposable

USEPA SF



1286301

material." The letter also states that the dewatered dredged material is "substantially the same as 'clean fill' or 'inert' material, as defined in OAR 340-093-0030 (13) and (46), respectively."

The Port is requesting DEQ's approval of the beneficial use for wetland mitigation based on (1) the above language; (2) the material characterization in the Port's letter dated May 25; and (3) the chemical analysis indicates that the material is within the guidelines for low biological effects as reported by a number of studies of freshwater sediments.

Because we are required to start moving the material out of the Rehandle Facility by mid October to allow room for next dredging project, we would appreciate DEQ's approval by October 10.

Thank you for your continued support on this issue; we appreciate your time and dedication to finding solutions.